

### Minutes of Governing Body (GB) Meeting Monday 25<sup>th</sup> June 2018, 5.00pm, Conference Room, Lisburn Campus

Agenda Item	Members present
1: Chair's	Mr Deep Sagar (Chair), Mr Ken Webb (CEO/Principal), Professor Alan Woodside, Mr Andrew Corbett, Mrs
Business	Barbara Larkin, Ms Christine Goodwin, Mr Ed Jackson, Mr Gareth Hetherington, Mrs Heather Reid, Mr John
a) Attendance	Mackell, Mrs Kim Scott, Mr Neil Bodger, Ms Shirleen Corbett, Mr Steve Pollard.
and	
Apologies	Apology for absence
	Mrs Karen Fraser, Mrs Carolyn King, Miss Rachel Heaney
	In attendance
	Mrs Claire Williamson (Secretary to the Governing Body), Mrs Heather McKee (Director of Strategic Planning,
	Quality and Support - DSPQS), Mr Tommy Martin (Chief Finance Officer - CFO), Mrs Sonya Kerr (Boardroom
	Apprentice 18-19), Mrs Annie Hamilton (Boardroom Apprentice)
	The Chair welcomed in particular Conva Kerr who was observing the meeting and would be inining the
	The Chair welcomed in particular Sonya Kerr who was observing the meeting and would be joining the
	Governing Body in September as part of the Boardroom Apprentice programme. The Chair said as usual all
b) Declarations	papers would be taken as read so that time for discussion could be maximised.
of conflicts	The Chair invited members to declare any known or perceived conflicts of interests. No interests were
of interests	declared. The Chair advised it would beneficial to revisit this matter at a later date to ensure that members
	were aware that a perceived conflict should be declared, he advised this is an important subject and
	perception from the public on these matters was something to be conscious of. He said an interest can be
	financial or non-financial and to be relevant the 'Clapham omnibus' test should be applied i.e. would a person
c) Chair's report	in Lisburn Square perceive this to be relevant.
c) chair 3 report	The Chair asked members to note the written report provided, a brief discussion took place and the following
	points were noted:
	The Chair advised members that the matter with the former employee has been settled satisfactorily  The Chair advised members that the matter with the former employee has been settled satisfactorily.
	and he will bring a report of the consequences of this case to the September meeting under
	confidential business.
	The Principal enquired if the Chair could disclose some detail on the three complaints he had
	received, he advised that he is trying to establish why there is a need to review the policies. The Chair
	advised that this is his preference to review the policies and the Principal may disagree with this view.
	The Principal replied that he does not disagree with the Chair's view but is keen to establish why
	these complaints relate to the need to review the College policies on Whistleblowing and Staff
	Grievance, he further noted that he wasn't aware of any whistleblowing cases that have been raised
	recently. The Chair advised that the complaints had been dealt with appropriately and suggested the
	he discussed this matter with the Principal separately.
	Mr Bodger highlighted that as the Governing Body is the employer then there is a duty for all
	Governors to review such complaints. The Chair advised that he took advice on the complaints and
	whilst there is a duty to make the GB aware of such complaints there is no need for the GB to be
	aware of the full details. Mr Bodger stated that the GB does not know what is going on with the
	employees of the College if they do not view these types of complaints. The Chair advised that the
	employer then needs to go back to the policies for review in this case.
	The Director of Strategic Planning, Quality and Support advised that the Whistleblowing Policy is a
	robust policy and is dependent on the level of complaint, if a complaint is received about the Principal
	then the GB will handle this type of complaint, but lower level complaints are dealt with by the other
	senior managers according to the policy and she asked if the 3 complaints could therefore be shared
	with CMT. The Chair replied that he has asked the Audit committee to review the policy and he did
	not agree with the Director's view on how Whistleblowing complaints are processed.
	In response to a question on Connected 4 the Principal advised Governors that there is a budget of
	£4.5m for the project which also covers staff costs, in terms of discontentment from other Colleges

the Principal advised that the matter had been discussed at two meetings with the Principals and there were no issues raised during either meeting. He informed Governors that the benefit to SERC in delivering Connected 4 is that the individual staff member employed for the project will provide the College with inside knowledge and we will be more aware of opportunities the College can avail of.

- The Chair invited the Lead Campus Governors to provide an update on their recent visits. Mr Jackson noted that he believed the role was about connecting with staff and students and would like some direction on going forward, the Chair advised that each Governor will have their own style. The Principal advised that it would be beneficial to draw up a terms of reference for the role to ensure the purpose of the role is clear to both staff and students.
- Mr Mackell provided a brief verbal update on his recent visit to the Lisburn Campus, he noted that it
  was good to see operational plans in action when hearing so much about it at a strategic level and
  agreed that whilst his meeting was productive he would benefit from more direction on the role.
- Professor Woodside informed Governors that he met with Paul Walsh in Downpatrick and discussed
  the opportunity to run further courses that would have external qualifications and he is actively
  pursuing this on the College's behalf. He also suggested that the Downpatrick Campus could offer a
  community HUB similar to the set up in the Newcastle campus to engage the local community more.
- Mr Hetherington advised that after visiting the Holywood Campus the main issue amongst staff is they feel they have a lower profile compared to the other campus' and this is something they are keen to improve on. Professor Woodside suggested that students in the Holywood campus could liaise with the Lagan Trust as a live project which would go towards building the campus profile. The Principal informed Governors that the College has been in touch with the Lagan Trust and are waiting on them coming back with a number of live projects for students to link into.
- The Chair thanked the Governors for their reports and asked them to work together to produce a draft terms of reference; and suggested that they may find it helpful to speak to the Principal. On a final note the Principal cautioned that as Governors are not Access NI checked for this role he asked that the Lead Governors are mindful of meeting students on their own.

Action Point: The Principal will provide a briefing on Connected 4 including the costs and risks

**Action Point:** The Lead Campus Governors will produce a Terms of Reference for the role, with Christine Goodwin leading on drafting the document

d) Minutes of the GB meeting on 12/3/18 The Chair invited members to review the minutes of the meeting held on 12<sup>th</sup> March 2018.

The Chair asked Governors to consider the amendment recommended by Christine Goodwin on agenda item 3A, the amendment was agreed.

**Proposer: Deep Sagar** 

**Seconder: Christine Goodwin** 

The Chair asked Governors to consider the amendment recommended by the Principal on agenda item 1C, the amendment was agreed

Proposer: Ken Webb Seconder: Steve Pollard

Members agreed that subject to these amendments the minutes were true and accurate record of the

meeting.

**Proposer: Deep Sagar Seconder: Kim Scott** 

e) Action
points/matt
ers arising
from
previous

meetings

Members reviewed the action points from previous meetings and noted the report. The Chair highlighted that most actions were complete or had a completion plan. The Principal advised that two reports had been provided on the categorisation of partnerships and this action should be marked as complete. The Chair noted this may have been oversight and asked the Principal to share these with him.

The Chair asked members to note that the Audit Code summary has been presented with the papers as a user-friendly version, Mr Hetherington highlighted that the summary currently states that no staff member

		should be a member of the committee and requested this is amended to 'No member of the Executive team' as the staff Governor is currently a member of the Audit committee.		
		Action Point: The Principal agreed to share the reports detailing the partnerships with the College that had previously been presented to the GB		
		<b>Action Point:</b> The Audit Code summary will be amended to reflect the membership of the committee specifically that no member of the executive team can be a member		
f)	Instrument	The Chair directed members to the papers detailing the changes proposed by DfE to the Instrument and		
	of Government and Articles	Articles of Government, he advised the purpose of this exercise is to endorse these changes to allow DfE to confirm charitable status for the Colleges with the Charity Commission.		
	of Government	The Governing Body agreed to endorse the Instrument of Government as presented:  Proposer: Deep Sagar		
		Seconder: John Mackell		
		The Chair asked members to consider the revised Articles of Government and highlighted that it was his		
		proposal to endorse them as proposed by DfE subject to the following amendment:		
		<ol> <li>Remove the term accounting officer as those duties are governed by the Management Statement and Financial Memorandum</li> </ol>		
		<ol> <li>Not agree the exclusion of Governors allowances. The GB Chairs have written to DfE to request a separate letter is issued to confirm this policy is still in place as it would be dependent on a circular which is only advisory</li> </ol>		
		The Principal enquired if this discussion could be perceived as a conflict of interest as it is discussing		
		Governors pay, the Chair confirmed that he had checked this issue and there is no conflict around this		
		discussion, he highlighted that Governors have reviewed and approved the remuneration SOP twice and was content this was not a conflicted issue.		
		Mr Jackson enquired if the safeguarding list reference was correct as written, the Chair advised it was and		
		there are rules around the length of time someone will stay on a safeguarding list after the criminal conviction		
		is spent.		
		The Governing Body agreed to endorse the Articles of Government subject to the two amendments proposed by the Chair:		
	Proposer: Deep Sagar			
		Seconder: Neil Bodger		
g)	College's	The Chair directed members to the College's Employer Forum constitution and advised that Governing Bodies		
	Employer Forum	have been asked to review and approve this document as it had previously sat with College's NI.		
		The Governing Body approved the CEF Constitution		
		Proposer: Deep Sagar		
1. )	Desirter C	Seconder: Steve Pollard		
h)	Register of Governor's Interests	The Chair asked members to note the summary of Governor's Register of Intertest for information, he advised that the register should be updated regularly and that it is available at any time on request from the Secretary by the public. Amendments by Mr Jackson and Mrs Scott were recorded One Governor asked that one of her		
		roles should remain confidential and was concerned that it could be disclosed to the public. The Chair		
		advised that the record needs to be publicly accessible and the Secretary will offer further advice on this		
		issue.		
		Action Point: The Secretary will seek further advice from DfE on the disclosure of the register of interests		
		Action Point: The Secretary will amend the register as per the points highlighted by Kim Scott and Ed Jackson to the accuracy of their details		
:\	Boardroom			
i)	Boardroom Apprentice	Annie Hamilton addressed the Governing Body to present what she had gained from her experience as the		
	Presentation	Boardroom Apprentice. She highlighted some learning points that might be useful for the Governing Body to take on board.		
		take on board.		

3

# She thanked the Governors and CMT for their help and patience in helping her with the role and advised that she would stay on in the next year as an observer at the request of the Chair. Mrs Hamilton welcomed Sonya Kerr as the newly appointed apprentice and wished well in her role.

## Agenda Item 2: CEO's business

a) CEO's report including Balanced Scorecard The Principal and CEO asked members to note the written report provided and highlighted the following points:

- The Principal informed Governors that the recent QAA review for Higher Education went extremely
  well, he advised that confirmation of the result of the review will be provided to the College in July.
  He advised it is his firm expectation that the College will be awarded a competent status with no
  areas for improvement or development recommended. In response to a question from one
  Governor, the Chair spoke about the governance and quality related questions asked of him by
  reviewers.
- The Principal paid compliment to Heather Miller, Head of Quality Excellence and Development, and
  the wider team for their work in putting together the evidence for the review and their overall work
  in HE across the College.
- The Principal advised Governors that after a recent recruitment drive to recruit part time lecturers 45 out of 85 have been recruited from industry, Governors noted that this progress has embedded the action assigned to the CMT to increase the number of PTL's recruited from industry. In response to queries from members the Principal confirmed that PTL's are provided with training through a full induction programme to ensure they are at an appropriate level of competence to teach, further support is offered to staff in completing their CIT or PGCE.
- The Principal advised Governors that he had recently met with the Permanent Secretary and during
  discussions it was confirmed that the area of capital spending was going to be under pressure, the
  Principal advised that he did not see any reason to change he College Development Plan in terms of
  the Budget considering this development.
- In response to a query regarding the Bridging the Gap programme the Principal informed Governors that the projects will be quantified and will be considered for inclusion in the budget for next year.

B) College Development Plan 2018-19 revised after DfE approval of annual Resource Requirement The Principal informed Governors that the CDP has not received final sign off from the Permanent Secretary, the presented version has been updated after DfE gave the College their indicative Grant in Aid funding, the deliverables have not been changed within the CDP to reflect the funding allocation. The Chair highlighted that the important point is that management have assured the Governing Body the CDP can be delivered within the allocated budget.

In response to queries from members on the budget the Chief Finance Officer advised that CMT are confident the target for commercial income can be met next year and it will constantly be reviewed throughout the year to ensure that it is close to what has been forecast. The Principal further advised that the forecast for commercial income is reflected in the risk register and if it becomes apparent the target cannot be achieved other costs will be reduced to ensure the budget is not exceeded.

The CFO advised Governors that whilst a figure of 85% has been included in the CDP in regard to debtor payments the finance team are consistently striving to make improvements to this figure.

The Governing Body approved the Annual Resource Requirement 2018-19

Proposer: Ken Webb Seconder: Deep Sagar

Agenda Item 3: Items for Approval a) Process to elect Student

Governor for 2018-19

The Chair asked members to consider the paper presented outlining the student election process for approval, he noted that the Instrument for Government specifically states the Governing Body should approve the process. A brief discussion took place and the following points were noted:

The Principal highlighted that the process had bee presented to the Education committee through the
approval of the Student's Union Constitution in November 2017, he highlighted that the election
process for the coming year has been completed and a Student Governor has been elected with a
view to commencing their term in October, therefore the approval sought from the GB is for the
process going forward.

		<ul> <li>In response to queries from members on the timing and length of the election the Director of SQPS advised that it is quite normal for a student election vote to stay opened for 3 weeks and informed Governors that the timeframe set out in the constitution is at the request of the Students Union. She advised Governors that whilst the vote percentage is still low the newly appointed Student Engagement Manager has been tasked with increasing awareness of the vote and encouraging students to become more involved.</li> <li>The Director informed the Governors that a lot of effort is made to capture new students in September with a lot of activity across fresher's week and class reps are recruited in the autumn.</li> <li>The Chair queried if there was value in running the election in May as most HE students are busy with exams and the number of HE students is low and suggested that delaying the start of the Governor may enable a date change to encourage more interest in the process.</li> <li>The Director highlighted that the timeframe had been presented to the HE review Board by the previous Student Governor, Marie Shaw on behalf of the SU. The election process outlined in schedule 2 of the constitution including the timeframe was requested by the SU, they were content with the time frame and advised that it allowed students to get embedded in their studies.</li> <li>Professor Woodside stated he did not see any benefit changing the election date as students would not vote for people they did not know and that students should be allowed to develop a sense of maturity before committing themselves to the role.</li> <li>The Chair advised he did not feel that the process had GB approval through the Education committee as this responsibility had not been delegated to the committee in advance.</li> <li>It was agreed that the Student Governor should be referred to as president of the Student's Union.</li> </ul>			
b)	Audit Committee Minutes	The Chair of the Audit Committee, Gareth Hetherington presented the minutes for adoption from the			
		meeting held on 26 <sup>th</sup> March.			
	26 <sup>th</sup> March	Proposer: Gareth Hetherington			
	2018	Seconder: Steve Pollard			
c)	Education Committee Minutes 21 <sup>st</sup> February 2018	The Chair of the Education Committee, Barbara Larkin presented the minutes for adoption from the meeting held on 21st February.  Proposer: Andrew Corbett Seconder: Heather Reid			
d)	Finance and GP Minutes	The Chair of the Finance and General Purposes Committee, Ed Jackson presented the minutes for adoption			
	6 <sup>th</sup> March	from the meeting held on 6 <sup>th</sup> March.			
	2018	Proposer: Kim Scott Seconder: Ed Jackson			
e)	Staffing	The Chair of the Staffing Committee, Christine Goodwin presented the minutes for adoption from the			
-,	Committee	meeting held on 8 <sup>th</sup> March.			
	Minutes 8 <sup>th</sup> March 2018	Proposer: Christine Goodwin			
		Seconder: Heather Reid			
		At this juncture the Principal queried if a matter isn't discussed during the meeting can it be included under			
		matters arising in a subsequent meeting. The Chair confirmed that if it relates to the agenda it can or through			
		the Chair be added to the agenda. After brief discussion members advised that its helpful from a members			
		perceptive to have clarity on the agenda items.			
4. Other Items for Information a) Financial results to date		The CFO directed members to the written report provided and highlighted DfE confirmed that SERC should			
		use a "working assumption" 2018/19 Budget Allocation of £32,275k on 23 <sup>rd</sup> May. At £32,275k, the College's			
resu	its to date				

	forecasted NDPB resource outturn is therefore on target. The CFO asked Governors to note that this is			
focussed on a March 2019 year end.				
h) Ct., do at				
b) Student governor's report	Ms Heaney's written report was noted. The Principal noted the update provided in the report on carparking issues for students in the Lisburn campus, he advised that after discussions with Lisburn Castlereagh Council additional spaces have been identified at Wallace Avenue and confirmed that spaces are available in the College carpark for disabled students. The Principal confirmed that this has been made known to students and will be marketed again during fresher's week.			
	In response to a suggestion to link in with Translink the Principal advised that the College does work with Translink however there are issues around timetabling and location, for example the Lisburn campus is better			
	serviced by public transport than Downpatrick.			
	In response to a question from Ms Goodwin on disabled parking the Director of SPQS acknowledged that a complaint had been made by a student with a broken limb in Bangor who had their request to use the car park refused, she stated this should not have happened and three dedicated disabled spaces have now been provided through the upgrade works at the Bangor campus.			
c) Staff governors'	Mrs King's (Corporate Staff Governor) report was noted. Mr Corbett (academic staff governor) advised that he			
reports	has been working on operational matters recently such as examinations due to the time of the year and does not have any strategic matters to report.			
d) Audit	Mr Hetherington's (Chair of the audit committee) written report was noted. He highlighted the committee			
Committee	had reviewed the strategy presented by the NIAO and PWC for the audit which will commence in September.			
Briefing for meeting on 21st	The Director of Curriculum and Information Services had assured the committee that the STS project does not			
June 2018	pose any issues with the timeframe in place and DfE have confirmed they will meet any associated costs of			
	the project.			
	The committee have created a standing item on IT resilience and received a detailed presentation from			
	Andrew Emmet, Principle Systems, Technology & Services Officer, MILT on cyber security. The Chair has			
	agreed to work with the IT team to devise a programme of activity for the committee to work on to ensure			
	they can continue to seek assurances in this area.			
	The committee reviewed three internal audit reports in IT Security, Business Continuity Planning & Disaster			
	Recovery and Health and Safety; all of which received a satisfactory rating.			
e) Education	Ms Larkin's (chair of the education committee) written report was noted. She drew attention to programme			
committee briefing: meeting	of work agreed for the next academic year specifically the 14-19-year-old strategy and entrepreneurship. The			
of 21st May 2018	Principal informed Governors that an innovation lab is being held by the Department of Education in August			
	to look at the strategy as a whole, the event is invite only and the sector has been limited to 4 spaces. Mrs			
	Scott confirmed she would be attending the event in her role with the Education Authority and would be able			
	to provide some feedback. Mrs Kerr also advised her colleagues from Catalyst Enc had been invited to attend			
0.50.60	and she would seek feedback from them before the next meeting.			
f) F&GP committee	Mr Jackson's (chair of the F&GP committee) written report was noted. He drew attention to the planned			
briefing: meeting	development of Castle House and the tentative proposal for an innovation centre, the early plans were			
of 20 <sup>th</sup> June 2018	presented to the committee. The committee approved an SLA for the STS project and all future collaborative			
	projects going forward, they were made aware that the Youth Training and Apprenticeship branch of DfE have			
	agreed to replace their TMS system with Tribal's but have not engaged in sufficient time to implement this for			
	June. Mr Jackson advised the committee received an interesting presentation from Michele Devlin, Head of			
	School for Health, Early Years and Adult Education in which she described how the high-level budget filters			
	down to each department and how these costs are managed and how budget holders react to cost saving			
h) Staffing	measures.  Ms Goodwin's (chair of the staffing committee) written report was noted. She advised that the committee			
committee	Ms Goodwin's (chair of the staffing committee) written report was noted. She advised that the committee had reviewed the staffing priorities for 2018/19 and the Chief Human Resources Officer has been asked to			
briefing: meeting of 24 <sup>th</sup> May 2018	review the priorities again with a view that they are agreed in September with set targets. Staff absence still			
Or 24" Ividy 2018	remains a concern for the committee and despite the health care scheme in place the absence rates have			
	remained high_Another area of focus for the committee was staff utilisation, a robust measure should be in			

### place by November that can be used in the KPI reports presented to the committee to give more context to the numbers presented. Agenda Item Steve Pollard led the discussion on Productivity Matters, he provided a brief overview of what productivity is 5: Discussion and how it affects the College. A robust discussion took place and Mr Hetherington provided a detailed **Time** overview of what economic inactivity is and how this affects the economy. Mr Pollard highlighted that his view was focussed on enterprise productivity and that if a narrative is built to engage business this can be opened to other sectors like health which would address the economic inactivity. It was agreed that SERC should have a multi-pronged approach which would entice people back into education at a low level and focus on STEM subjects at a higher level. The Principal highlighted that in recent years there has been a big increase in Blue Sky research in Northern Ireland and little investment in development, he noted that the College haven't encapsulated its offer into a strategy. The Chair thanked everyone for their contribution and summarised the discussion, he asked if Mr Pollard would continue to liaise with the Principal on this subject and confirmed once the GB can view the College offering more thought can be given to how the GB can further support this plan. Action points: The Chair summarised consensus action points as follows for the college: 1. Continue to focus on the socially deprived target, refer this back to Education committee if necessary 2. Create a Better narrative to engage business 3. Target STEM subjects Action Point: The Principal will capture the College's productivity offer into a short paper to present to the **Governing Body** Agenda Item The Chair thanked members for their time, attendance and participation over the course of the year and 6: Any other advised he will be in touch by email before the next cycle of meetings begins in the new academic year. business The Secretary advised members that the poll to possibly change the GB meeting date in November will be finalised over the next few days. Mrs Kerr thanked the Chair for allowing her to observe the meeting and advised that she was very much looking forward to joining the Governing Body in September in her role as the apprentice. Date of the The next meeting is scheduled for Monday 17<sup>th</sup> September 2018, 5pm, Lisburn Campus. next meeting

The meeting concluded at 19.50pm						
Signed:	Date:					
Deep Sagar, Chair of Governing Body						

#### Abbreviations used:

SERC: South Eastern Regional College

GB: Governing Body CEO: Chief Executive

DSPQS: Director of Strategic Planning, Quality and Support

CFO: Chief Finance Officer
CDP: College Development Plan

7

CIT: Certificate in Teaching

CMT: College Management Team DfE: Department for the Economy

m: million %: per cent

F&GP: Finance and general purposes

NI: Northern Ireland

PGCE: Post Graduate Certificate in Education

PTL: Part Time Lecturer

SLA: Service Level Agreement

STS: Systems, Technology and Services

SU: Students Union

STEM: Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics

QAA: quality Assurance Agency